eviewer Recommendation	and Comments for Mani	uscript Number			
		Original Submission			
	Recomi	mendation: No Recommendation			
Cancel	Save & Submit Later	Upload Reviewer Attachments	Proof & Print	Proceed	
Reviewer Instructions					
the main body of your review	under question 5, along wethics. Declare your own po	view form below. (A red asterisk (* ith any concerns about potential co otential competing interests under t office.	mpeting interests	on the part of the authors	
		e aware of any issue that could pote	entially compromi	se the integrity of the	ı
process, contact the journal be Published peer review hist	_	agues.			
If this manuscript is accepted	for publication, the author ry package includes the co	rs will have the option to publish the mplete editorial decision letter, with ers.			
Anonymity					
name or contents. If you choo	ose to sign your review, yo	you sign your review, or upload an a ur name will appear in the editorial	decision letter wi	nere the authors and other	•
Review Questions					
*Comments to the Author				Insert Special Cha	acte
1. Does the manuscript provi	ide a valid rationale for the	proposed study, with clearly identi	fied and justified	research questions?	
The research question outline field.	ed is expected to address a	a valid academic problem or topic a	nd contribute to t	he base of knowledge in th	9
Please select a response					
*2. Is the protocol technically hypotheses?	y sound and planned in a r	nanner that will lead to a meaningfo	ul outcome and a	llow testing the stated	
pipeline, including sufficient of hypotheses and a statistical p	outcome-neutral conditions power analysis where appli ertaken, authors should ou	nt detail to prevent undisclosed flex s (e.g. necessary controls, absence cable. As there may be aspects of t tline potential assumptions and exp	of floor or ceiling the methodology	effects) to test the proposion and analysis which can only	d be
Please select a response					

2020	Reviewer Recommendation and Comments
*4. Have the authors of	described where all data underlying the findings will be made available when the study is complete?
restriction, with rare en information, or deposit	requires authors to make all data underlying the findings described in their manuscript fully available without exception, at the time of publication. The data should be provided as part of the manuscript or its supporting ted to a public repository. For example, in addition to summary statistics, the data points behind means, medians should be available. If there are restrictions on publicly sharing data—e.g. participant privacy or use of data from the specified.
*5. Is the manuscript	presented in an intelligible fashion and written in standard English?
	pyedit accepted manuscripts, so the language in submitted articles must be clear, correct, and unambiguous. Ar matical errors should be corrected at revision, so please note any specific errors here.
*6. Review Comments	s to the Author
must address before th	provided to explain your answers to the questions above and, if applicable, provide comments about issues author his protocol can be accepted for publication. You may also include additional comments for the author, including such or publication ethics.
You may also provide o	optional suggestions and comments to authors that they might find helpful in planning their study.
(Please upload your re	eview as an attachment if it exceeds 20,000 characters) (Limit 100 to 20000 Characters)
Character Count:	0
*7.000	
your full peer review a	e the option to publish the peer review history of their article (what does this mean?). If published, this will included any attached files.
If you choose "no", you	ur identity will remain anonymous but your review may still be made public.
Do you want your id see our Privacy Policy.	lentity to be public for this peer review? For information about this choice, including consent withdrawal, ple
Yes	
Response requir	r <mark>ed</mark> as it should appear in the published peer review:
,	n this review on behalf of another person. (Limit 2 to 20000 Characters)
Character Count:	
policy and declare any	otential or perceived competing interests that may influence your review? Please review our Competing Interests potential interests that you feel the Editor should be aware of when considering your review. If you have no
Do you have any popolicy and declare any	otential or perceived competing interests that may influence your review? Please review our Competing Interests
Do you have any popolicy and declare any	otential or perceived competing interests that may influence your review? Please review our Competing Interests potential interests that you feel the Editor should be aware of when considering your review. If you have no
Do you have any popolicy and declare any	otential or perceived competing interests that may influence your review? Please review our Competing Interests potential interests that you feel the Editor should be aware of when considering your review. If you have no

Character Cour	·	estion 6 as well. (optional) (Limit	200 Characters)	
. If accepted, do yased on perceived	significance or					evaluate manuscripts ations. (optional)
DRCID Reviewer	Credit					
	er may change	this after you sub	mit the review, b	by going to their	Completed Rev	you are proxied in for the ents folder and using th